

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/017,329	02/02/1998	RYUICHI MATSUKURA	1083.1049/JD	8159
21171 7	590 03/10/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001			CARDONE, JASON D	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		CARDONE, JASON I	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	25
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/017,329	MATSUKURA, RYUICHI	
, avice, y rieden	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Cardone	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A <sub>I</sub> Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme opeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application is	a in
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).	xpire later than SIX MONTHS from to WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See I	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the parties under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration data as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Set	eriod of extension and the correspon ate of the shortened statutory period e Office later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The appropriat for reply originally set in the final Office	e extension action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ed because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplify	/ing the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	•
NOTE:			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following re</li></ol>	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because	st for reconsideration has bee e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	en considered but does NOT pla	ce the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were nev	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim			ın
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 16-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>.</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	/
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	/
10 Cher	•	~/X~	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: limitations from the specification (ie. figures) are not read into the claims. Therefore, the broadness of the claimed invention is disclosed by the prior art of record.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER